#### Agmon metrics, exponential localization and the shape of quantum graphs

Evans Harrell Georgia Tech www.math.gatech.edu/~harrell

> CMS/SMC Montréal Dec.,2015

Copyright 2015 by Evans M. Harrell II.

#### Abstract

We show that the Agmon method for establishing exponential decrease of eigensolutions (or subsolutions) can be adapted to quantum graphs. As a generic matter, the rate of decay is controlled by an Agmon metric related to the classical Liouville-Geen estimate for the line, but more rapid decay is typical, arising from the geometry of the graph. We provide additional theorems capturing this effect with alternative Agmon metrics, one adapted to a path and the other using averaging.

This is joint work with Anna Maltsev of the University of Bristol, http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.06922





- 1. The tunneling effect.
- 2. Randomness (Anderson localization)

#### Microelectronic circuits modeled with ODES on *metric* graphs.

 Microelectronic circuits.
 Applications in many other fields, including neuroscience and botany.

 Microelectronic circuits.
 Applications in many other fields, including neuroscience and botany.
 Some 1D and some multi-D aspects.

Microelectronic circuits.
Applications in many other fields, including neuroscience and botany.
Some 1D and some multi-D aspects.
"Can one hear the graph structure?"

• Vertices are connected by edges, on which  $-\psi'' + V(x)\psi = E\psi$ .

 The solutions are continuous and connected at the vertices by conditions such as

 $\sum f'_e(v^+) = 0.$ 

"Kirchhoff conditions"

 $e \sim v$ 







 Kirchhoff conditions correspond to the energy form

$$\phi \rightarrow \sum_{e} \int_{e} \left( |\phi'|^2 + V(x)|\phi|^2 \right) dx$$
  
on H<sup>1</sup>( $\Gamma$ ). (Like Neumann BC)  
If the graph tends to  $\infty$ , how well  
localized are the eigenfunctions?



← Let V=0, E=-1 (outside a finite region). There is a symmetric solution that looks like e<sup>-x</sup> on the sides and constant on the rungs, and an antisymmetric one of the form  $ge^{-|\ln \lambda_-|x}$  where g is periodic and  $|\ln \lambda_-| > 1$ .





#### Examples

 With branching number b and length L, the transfer matrix for the regular tree has smaller eigenvalue

+ Trees

$$\left(\frac{b}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\cosh kL + \sqrt{\left(\left(\frac{b}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\cosh kL\right)^2 - b}$$
$$< \frac{1}{b\cosh kL}$$
(Here, E = k<sup>2</sup>.)

#### Examples

#### We also work out the example of a 2lengths regular tree.

+ Trees

(Here,  $E = k^2$ .)





#### ✤ Millipedes



#### Examples

#### Millipedes



#### Examples

#### Millipedes



The spectral problem is equivalent to a problem on a half line, with delta potentials at regular intervals.



 Exponential decay of eigenfunctions is a geometric concept.

 Exponential decay of eigenfunctions is a geometric concept.

 In the 80's, Agmon produced manydimensional estimates that resemble Liouville-Green in 1D.

 Exponential decay of eigenfunctions is a geometric concept.

 Exponential dichotomy - in the nonoscillatory regime one expects asymptotic behavior like exp(±p(x)).

 Exponential decay of eigenfunctions is a geometric concept.

 Exponential dichotomy - in the nonoscillatory regime one expects asymptotic behavior like

 $exp(\pm \rho(x)).$ 

The Agmon metric depends on the potential.

 Exponential decay of eigenfunctions is a geometric concept.

 Exponential dichotomy - in the nonoscillatory regime one expects asymptotic behavior like

 $exp(\pm \rho(x)).$ 

The Agmon metric depends on the potential and, as we shall show, the graph structure.

◆ Basically, if ψ∈L<sup>2</sup> and the EVE is valid, you look for a function F>0 for which integration by parts identities imply Fψ∈L<sup>2</sup>. In the classic case F= e<sup>ρ</sup>, where

 $\rho_a(y, x; E) := \min_{\text{paths } P \ y \text{ to } x} \int_P (V(t) - E)_+^{1/2} dt.$ 

1. The "classical action" estimate for eigensolutions on the line is valid for graphs.

(9)

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$  is a connected, infinite subgraph on which  $\liminf(V(x) - E) > 0$ . If  $\psi \in L^2(\Gamma) \cap \mathcal{K}(\Gamma_0)$  satisfies

 $-\psi'' + V(x)\psi = E\psi$ 

on the edges of  $\Gamma_0$ , then for any  $\delta < \liminf(V - E)$ ,  $e^{\rho_a(x;E-\delta)}\psi \in H^1(\Gamma_0) \cap L^\infty(\Gamma_0).$ 

$$\rho_a(y, x; E) := \min_{\text{paths } P \, y \text{ to } x} \int_P (V(t) - E)_+^{1/2} dt.$$

1. The "classical action" estimate for eigensolutions on the line is valid for graphs.

 Along a path, a refined estimate is possible in terms of the "fractions of the derivative" p<sub>k</sub>. (Here we need assumptions that imply that eigenfunctions decay without changing sign.)

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose that  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$  is a connected, infinite subgraph on which  $\liminf(V(x) - E) > 0$  and that  $\psi \in L^2(\Gamma) \cap \mathcal{K}(\Gamma_0)$  satisfies

 $-\psi'' + V(x)\psi = E\psi$ 

on the edges of  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\psi' < 0$  outside of a set of compact support. Consider any infinite path  $P \subset \Gamma_0$ , on which the fraction of the derivative exiting from a vertex v is designated  $p_v$ . Then for any  $\delta < \liminf(V-E)$ ,  $e^{\rho_P(x,E-\delta)}\psi \in L^2(P)$ . That is,

$$\sqrt{\prod_{v \in P} \frac{1}{p_v}} e^{\rho_a(x, E-\delta)} \psi \in L^2(P) \cap L^\infty(P)$$

- 1. The "classical action" estimate for eigensolutions on the line is valid for graphs.
- 2. Along a path, a refined estimate is possible.
- 3. On sufficiently regular graphs, an averaged wave function must decay more rapidly than the classical-action estimate.

**Theorem 1.3.** Suppose that  $\Psi$  is the averaged eigenfunction on a quantum graph with regular topology corresponding to a solution  $\psi$  of (1), for which  $\psi \in L^2(\Gamma) \cap \mathcal{K}$ , and that for all x such that  $\operatorname{dist}(0, x) = y$ ,  $V(x) \geq V_m(y)$ , where  $\liminf(V_m(y) - E) > 0$ . Define

$$F_{\text{ave}}(y, E) := \left(\prod_{j: v_j < y} \sqrt{\frac{b_j}{a_j}}\right) e^{\int_0^y \sqrt{V_m(t) - E} \, dt}.$$
 (12)

Then for each  $0 < \delta < \liminf(V_m - E)$ ,

 $F_{\text{ave}}(y, E - \delta)\Psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+).$ 





## Trick #1 - conjugation by F

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose that  $\phi$  and F > 0 are real-valued functions on the metric graph  $\Gamma$  such that  $\phi \in AC^1$  and  $F \in AC$ . Then for any x in an edge of  $\Gamma$ ,

$$(F\phi)'\left(\frac{\phi}{F}\right)' = (\phi')^2 - \left(\frac{F'}{F}\right)^2 \phi^2.$$
(14)

Moreover, on any subgraph  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$ 

$$\sum_{e\in\Gamma_0} \int_e F\phi\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x) - E\right) \frac{1}{F}\phi \, dx = -\sum_{v\in\Gamma_0} \sum_{e\in\Gamma_0, e\sim v} F\phi\frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{1}{F}\phi\right] (v^+) + \sum_{e\in\Gamma_0} \int_e |\phi'|^2 + \left(V - E - \left|\frac{F'}{F}\right|^2\right) |\phi|^2 dx.$$
(15)



# Trick #1 - conjugation by F

 This means that if V - E - |F'/F|<sup>2</sup> > δ, and we choose F to eliminate vertex terms, then the Sobolev norm of φ on the subgraph is controlled by

$$\sum_{e \in \Gamma_0} \int_e F\phi\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x) - E\right) \frac{1}{F}\phi \, dx$$

# Tricks #2 and 3 - cut off and exploit the EVE.

• We chose  $\phi/F = \psi$  outside a compact region, and after a calculation,

 $F^2 \eta \psi \left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x) - E \right) \eta \psi \le C_0 \chi_{\operatorname{supp} \eta}(x)(\psi)^2(x) + G'(x),$ 

# Tricks #2 and 3 - cut off and exploit the EVE. We chose φ/F = ψ outside a compact region, and after a calculation,

$$F^2\eta\psi\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+V(x)-E\right)\eta\psi\leq C_0\chi_{\operatorname{supp}\eta}(x)(\psi)^2(x)+G'(x),$$

 $\sum_{e \in \Gamma_0} \int_e \eta^2 \left[ \left( (F\psi)' \right)^2 + (F\psi)^2 \right] \, dx \le C_2 \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathrm{supp}(\eta'))}^2,$ 

# Tricks #2 and 3 - cut off and exploit the EVE. We chose φ/F = ψ outside a compact

region, and after a calculation,

$$F^2\eta\psi\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+V(x)-E\right)\eta\psi\leq C_0\chi_{\operatorname{supp}\eta}(x)(\psi)^2(x)+G'(x),$$

$$\sum_{e \in \Gamma_0} \int_e \eta^2 \left[ \left( (F\psi)' \right)^2 + (F\psi)^2 \right] \, dx \le C_2 \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathrm{supp}(\eta'))}^2$$

Now add

$$\sum_{e \in \Gamma_0} \int_e (1 - \eta^2) \left[ \left( (F\psi)' \right)^2 + (F\psi)^2 \right] dx,$$

# Tricks #2 and 3 - cut off and exploit the EVE. This means that the Sobolev norm of F ψ outside a compact region is dominated by the L<sup>2</sup> norm of ψ (even just on some compact subset).

$$L^{2} \text{ and } L^{\infty} \text{ estimates}$$

$$|\phi(x_{0})| = |\chi(x_{0})\phi(x_{0})| = \left| \int_{x_{0}-\frac{\ell_{\min}}{2}}^{x_{0}} (\chi(y)\phi(y))'dy \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_{x_{0}-\frac{\ell_{\min}}{2}}^{x_{0}} (\chi'(y)\phi(y) + \chi(y)\phi'(y)) dy \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{0}-\frac{\ell_{\min}}{2}}^{x_{0}} ((\chi')^{2}(y) + (\phi(y))^{2} + (\chi(y))^{2} + (\phi'(y))^{2}) dy,$$

# Comparison with examples

 The ladder shows that the classical-action bound is sometimes best possible.

#### Comparison with examples

 The ladder shows that the classical-action bound is sometimes best possible.

2. The millipede has decay faster than the classical-action bound, and our path-dependent estimate captures that.

### Comparison with examples

 The ladder shows that the classical-action bound is sometimes best possible.

- 2. The millipede has decay faster than the classical-action bound, and our path-dependent estimate captures that.
- 3. The regular tree shows that the averaged bound is sharp. (Even one with two lengths.)

