# Shapes that optimize spectra of differential operators

Evans Harrell Georgia Tech www.math.gatech.edu/~harrell

> Nancy 11 janvier 2011

Copyright 2011 by Evans M. Harrell II

#### Abstract

 We'll discuss how to optimize the eigenvalues of some differential equations that depend on the geometry of a curve or surface, typically the Laplace operator plus a potential energy that is quadratic in curvature. I'll discuss the connections between the analytic tools and the geometry, and will present some sharp theorems, some illustrative examples, and open conjectures.

# To extremists, things tend to look simple...



Frontiers in Mathematics Birkhäuser

**Antoine Henrot** 

# $-\Delta_{LB}+q(\kappa)$

Here Δ is the Laplace-Beltrami
 operator on an immersed manifold and q(κ) is an expression quadratic in the curvature.

# $-\Delta_{LB}+q(\kappa)$

Here  $\Delta$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on an immersed manifold and q( $\kappa$ ) is an expression quadratic in the curvature.

Why would anyone be interested in this operator?

# On a (hyper) surface, what object is most like the Laplacian?

 $(\Delta = \text{the good old flat scalar Laplacian of Laplace})$ 

# Answer #1 (Beltrami's answer): Consider only tangential variations.

At a fixed point, orient Cartesian  $x_0$  with the normal, then calculate

Difficulty:

+ The Laplace-Beltrami operator is an intrinsic object, and as such is unaware that the surface is immersed!

#### Answer #2 (The nanoanswer):

-  $\Delta_{LB}$  + q

 Consider an electron or EM wave in a thin waveguide, as the width tends to zero.

Since Da Costa, PRA, 1981: Perform a singular limit and renormalization to attain the surface as the limit of a thin domain. Thin domain of fixed width variable r= distance from edge

Energy form in separated variables:

$$\int_{D} |\nabla_{\parallel} \zeta|^{2} d^{d+1}x + \int_{D} |\zeta_{r}|^{2} d^{d+1}x$$



#### The effective potential when the Dirichlet Laplacian is squeezed onto a submanifold

-  $\Delta_{LB}$  + q,

$$q(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \kappa_j \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \kappa_j^2$$

d=1, q =  $-\kappa^2/4 \le 0$  d=2, q =  $-(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)^2/4 \le 0$ 





Eigenfunctions of a self-adjoint operator, with different eigenvalues, are orthogonal. Therefore if we search over  $\varphi$  orthogonally to u<sub>1</sub>,  $\lambda_2 \leq \langle \varphi, A \varphi \rangle / ||\varphi||^2$ .

Eigenfunctions of a self-adjoint operator, with different eigenvalues, are orthogonal. Therefore if we search over  $\varphi$  orthogonally to u<sub>1</sub>,  $\lambda_2 \leq \langle \varphi, A \varphi \rangle / ||\varphi||^2$ .

Problem: We don't know  $u_1$  *a priori*. One way around this is a lemma of J. Hersch:

**Lemma.** (J. Hersch). Let  $\Omega$  be a two-dimensional, closed, smooth Riemannian manifold of the topological type of the sphere, and specify a bounded, positive, measurable function  $\rho$  on  $\Omega$ . Then there exists a conformal transformation  $\Phi: \Omega \to S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , embedded in the standard way as the unit sphere, such that

$$\int_{S^2} \mathbf{x} \rho(\Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{x})) J d\hat{S} = \mathbf{0}$$

Jacobian

For the trial function  $\varphi$  let's choose one of the Cartesian coordinates x,y,z on S<sup>2</sup>, but "pull back" to  $\Omega$ with the inverse of Hersch's conformal transformation. Let the resulting functions on  $\Omega$  be called X,Y,Z. What do we know about X,Y,Z?

For the trial function  $\varphi$  let's choose one of the Cartesian coordinates x,y,z on S<sup>2</sup>, but "pull back" to  $\Omega$ with the inverse of Hersch's conformal transformation. Let the resulting functions on  $\Omega$  be called X,Y,Z. What do we know about X,Y,Z?

- The functions X,Y,Z are orthogonal, because the functions x,y,z are orthogonal on S<sup>2</sup>.
  - Note: The restrictions of x,y,z to S<sup>2</sup> are the spherical harmonics = eigenfunctions:
    - $-\nabla^2 x = 2 x,$
    - $-\nabla^2 y = 2 y,$
    - $-\nabla^2 z = 2 z,$

For the trial function  $\varphi$  let's choose one of the Cartesian coordinates x,y,z on S<sup>2</sup>, but "pull back" to  $\Omega$ with the inverse of Hersch's conformal transformation. Let the resulting functions on  $\Omega$  be called X,Y,Z. What do we know about X,Y,Z?

1. The functions X,Y,Z are orthogonal.

2.  $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = 1$ , because  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ .

For the trial function  $\varphi$  let's choose one of the Cartesian coordinates x,y,z on S<sup>2</sup>, but "pull back" to  $\Omega$ with the inverse of Hersch's conformal transformation. Let the resulting functions on  $\Omega$  be called X,Y,Z. What do we know about X,Y,Z?

1. The functions X,Y,Z are orthogonal.

2. 
$$X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = 1$$
, because  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ .

3.

Likewise

$$\int_{S^2} \mathbf{x} \rho(\Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{x})) J d\hat{S} = \mathbf{0}.$$

#### Ready to roll with Rayleigh and Ritz:

Let's choose the trial function in

$$R(\zeta) := \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dS - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)^2 |\zeta|^2 dS}{\int_{\Omega} |\zeta|^2 dS}$$

as  $\zeta = X$ , Y, or Z. Considering for example X, conformality implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla X|^2 dS = \int_{S^2} |\nabla x|^2 d\hat{S} = \frac{8\pi}{3}$$

#### Ready to roll with Rayleigh and Ritz:

#### **Observing that**

$$a \le \frac{b_j}{c_j}$$

$$a \leq \frac{\sum_j b_j}{\sum_j c_j}$$
 :

$$\lambda_2 \le \frac{8\pi - \int_{\Omega} (\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)^2 dS}{\int_{\Omega} 1 dS}$$

Equality iff sphere. Why?

Sum rules and semiclassical limits for the spectra of some elliptic PDEs and pseudodifferential operators

> Evans Harrell Georgia Tech www.math.gatech.edu/~harrell

Institut É. Cartan Univ. H. Poincaré Nancy 1 16 février, 2010

Copyright 2010 by Evans M. Harrell II.

#### **Commutators of operators**

+ [H, G] := HG - GH + [H, G]  $\phi_k$  = (H -  $\lambda_k$ ) G  $\phi_k$ + If H=H\*, < $\phi_j$ , [H, G]  $\phi_k$ > = ( $\lambda_j$  -  $\lambda_k$ ) < $\phi_j$ , G $\phi_k$ >

#### 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> commutators (H-Stubbe '97)

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda_j \in J} (z - \lambda_j)^2 \left\langle [G, [H, G]] \phi_j, \phi_j \right\rangle - \sum_{\lambda_j \in J} (z - \lambda_j) \| [H, G] \phi_j \|^2$$

$$\sum_{\lambda_j \in J} \sum_{\lambda_k \in J^c} \left( z - \lambda_j \right) (z - \lambda_k) (\lambda_k - \lambda_j) |\langle G\phi_j, \phi_k \rangle|^2$$

The only assumptions are that H and G are selfadjoint, and that the eigenfunctions are a complete orthonormal sequence. (If continuous spectrum, need a spectral integral on right.)

#### 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> commutators (H-Stubbe '97)

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda_j \in J} (z - \lambda_j)^2 \left\langle [G, [H, G]] \phi_j, \phi_j \right\rangle - \sum_{\lambda_j \in J} (z - \lambda_j) \| [H, G] \phi_j \|^2$$

 $\sum_{\lambda_j \in J} \sum_{\lambda_k \in J^c} \left( z - \lambda_j \right) (z - \lambda_k) (\lambda_k - \lambda_j) |\langle G\phi_j, \phi_k \rangle|^2$ 

If  $J = {\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n}$  and  $z \in (\lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1})$ , the right side  $\leq 0$ . If  $H = -\Delta_{LB} + V(x)$ , and G is a Cartesian coordinate of the ambient space, the commutators can be calculated in terms of curvature (indep. of V, which might or might not = q( $\kappa$ )).

# Commutators: [A,B] := AB-BA

3a. The equations of space curves are commutators:

$$\left[\frac{d}{ds}, \mathbf{x}\right] = \mathbf{t}$$

$$\left[\frac{d}{ds},\mathbf{t}\right] = \kappa \mathbf{n}$$

Note: curvature is defined by a second commutator

# The Serret-Frenet equations as commutator relations:

 $= -\frac{d^2 X_m}{ds^2} - 2 \frac{d X_m}{ds} \frac{d}{ds} = -\kappa n_m - 2t_m \frac{d}{ds},$  $[H, X_m] =$ (2.2)

 $[X_m[H, X_m]] = 2t_m^2.$ 

#### 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> commutators for Schrödinger on immersed mflds

Let M be a smooth immersed curve. Then for  $\varphi \in W_0^1(M)$ ,



#### 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> commutators for Schrödinger on immersed mflds

$$\sum_k (z-\lambda_k)_+^2 \leq \frac{4}{d} \sum_k (z-\lambda_k)_+ \left( \int_M \left( |\nabla \phi_k|^2 + \frac{h^2}{4} |\phi_k|^2 \right) dV \right)$$

A quadratic "Yang-type inequality" implies numerous universal bounds for sums and gaps of eigenvalues, partition functions, etc.

#### 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> commutators for Schrödinger on immersed mflds

dk,

$$\left(1+\frac{2}{d}\right)\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_{i} + \frac{2}{d}\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\delta_{i} - \sqrt{D_{nk}}$$
$$\leq \lambda_{k+1}$$
$$\leq \left(1+\frac{2}{d}\right)\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_{i} + \frac{2}{d}\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\delta_{i} + \sqrt{D}$$

where  $D_{dk}$  depends only on the eigenvalues up through k and the dimension, and

$$\delta_i := \int_M \left(\frac{|h|^2}{4} - V\right) u_i^2.$$

# A simplification, for intuitive purposes:

$$\lambda_{k+1} \le \left(1 + \frac{4}{n}\right) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i + \frac{4}{n} \,\overline{\delta},$$

$$\delta := \sup_M \left(\frac{h^2}{4} - V\right).$$

The bounds on  $\lambda_{k+1}$  are attained for all k with  $\lambda_{k+1} \neq \lambda_k$ , when

1. The potential is of the form  $g h^2$ .

2. The submanifold is a sphere.

(For details see articles linked from <u>my webpage</u> beginning with Harrell-Stubbe Trans. AMS 349(1997)1797.) How can these inequalities be universal, when Colin de Verdière has shown that any finite positive numbers can be Laplace spectra for a manifold? How can these inequalities be universal, when Colin de Verdière has shown that any finite positive numbers can be Laplace spectra for a manifold?

+ A: Conditions for immersibility.



Z(t) := tr(exp(-tH)).

#### **Corollary 4.5** a) Let H be as (3.1), with M a compact, smooth submanifold. Then $t^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp(-\delta t) Z(t)$ is a nondecreasing function;

b) For  $H_g$  be of the form (1.10) on a smooth, compact submanifold M,  $t^{\frac{d}{2\sigma}}Z(t)$  is a nondecreasing function. **Theorem 3.1** Let  $\overline{M}$  be  $\mathbb{S}^m$  or  $\mathbb{F}P^m$  and let  $X : M \longrightarrow \overline{M}$  be an isometric immersion of mean curvature h. For any bounded potential q on M, the spectrum of  $H = -\Delta_g + q$  (with Dirichlet boundary conditions if  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ ) must satisfy,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1$ ,

(1) 
$$n\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left(\lambda_i + \bar{\delta}_i\right),$$
  
where  $\bar{\delta}_i := \frac{1}{4} \int_M (|h|^2 + c(n) - 4q) u_i^2,$ 

(II) 
$$\lambda_{k+1} \leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i + \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{\delta}_i + \sqrt{\bar{D}_{nk}}$$

where

$$\bar{D}_{nk} := \left( \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{1}^{k} \lambda_i + \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{\delta}_i \right)^2 - \left(1 + \frac{4}{n}\right) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{1}^{k} \lambda_i^2 - \frac{4}{n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \bar{\delta}_i \ge 0$$

A lower bound is also possible along the lines of Theorem 2.1. As in the previous section, the following simplifications follow easily:

**Corollary 3.1** With the notation of Theorem 3.1 one has,  $\forall k \geq 1$ ,

$$\lambda_{k+1} \le \left(1 + \frac{4}{n}\right) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i + \frac{4}{n} \ \bar{\delta},$$

where  $\bar{\delta} := \frac{1}{4} \sup(|h|^2 + c(n) - 4q).$ 

# **Extension of Reilly's inequality** (with El Soufi-Ilias, TAMS 2010)

# $\lambda_k \le C(d,k) \|h\|_{\infty}^2$

$$C_R = \frac{(d+4)^2}{d^2(d+2)}k^{2/d} - \frac{4}{d^2}$$

#### Consider the thin-domain operator on a closed, simply connected surface in R<sup>3</sup>,

$$-\nabla^2 - (\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)^2/4.$$

The ground state is maximized (at 0) by the sphere. Let's fix the area and ask after the maximum of the second eigenvalue.

# One dimension - a loopy problem

The foregoing operators can be caricatured with a family of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators on a closed loop, of the form:

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{g}) = -\frac{\mathbf{d^2}}{\mathbf{ds^2}} + \mathbf{g}\kappa^2$$

where s=arclength,  $\kappa$  is the curvature, and g is a real "coupling constant." The length is fixed. What shapes optimize low-lying eigenvalues, gaps, etc., and for which values of g?

# Optimizers of $\lambda_1$ for loops

- g < 0. Not hard to see  $\lambda_1$  uniquely maximized by circle. No minimizer a kink corresponds to a negative multiple of  $\delta^2$  (yikes!).
- g > 1. No maximizer. A redoubled interval can be thought of as a singular minimizer.
- ◆ 0 < g ≤ 1/4. Exner-Harrell-Loss '99: circle is minimizer.</li>
   Conjectured that the bifurcation was at g = 1. (When g=1, if the length is 2π, both the circle and the redoubled interval have λ<sub>1</sub> = 1.)
- If the embedding in R<sup>m</sup> is neglected, the bifurcation is at g =1/4 (Freitas, CMP 2001).

#### It is rather easy to see that the fundamental eigenvalue is maximized by the circle for all nonnegative g.



6 - length 2TT, closed -d +g K2, g <0  $\lambda_1 \leq 0$ , maximized by circle. Proof Rayleigh - Ritz =>  $\lambda_1 \int 1^2 ds \leq \int (1^2)^2 + g k^2 1^2 ds$  $z_{T}\lambda_{1} = -191 \int K^{2} ds = -191 \int K^{2} ds^{2} ds$ Canchy-Schwarz  $\leq -\frac{|g|}{2\pi} (JK \cdot 1)^2 = -|g| \cdot 2\pi$  $\lambda_1 \leq -|g|$ Equality iff K = cst. a.e. (cirebe).

With the other sign, the fundamental eigenvalue is minimized by the circle for 0 < g < 1/4 (Exner-Harrell-Loss Conf. Proc. 1999).

 $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{g}) = -\frac{\mathbf{d^2}}{\mathbf{ds^2}} + \mathbf{g}\kappa^2$ 

With the other sign, the fundamental eigenvalue is minimized by the circle for  $0 < g < \frac{1}{4}$  (Exner-Harrell-Loss Conf. Proc. 1999).

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{g}) = -\frac{\mathbf{d^2}}{\mathbf{ds^2}} + \mathbf{g}\kappa^2$$

The conjecture is that there is a bifurcation at g=1, below which the circle is always the optimizer. (Remains open, some progress by Linde, Proc. AMS 2006.)



If  $0 < g \le 1/4$ , the unique curve minimizing  $\lambda_1$  is the circle (Exner-Harrell-Loss '99). If g > 1, no longer true.

\*\*What happens in between? OPEN.

# Minimality when $g \leq 1/4$ .

*Proof.* a) Assume first that 0 < g < 1/4. The minimal value of  $\lambda_1$ , which we denote  $\lambda_*$ , is

$$\inf_{\kappa} \inf_{\zeta} \int \left( \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\mathrm{d}s} \right)^2 + g \kappa^2 \zeta^2 \right) \mathrm{d}s,$$

Because the quantity in question is an iterated infimum, it may be calculated in the other order. By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality

$$2\pi = \int \frac{\kappa}{\zeta} \zeta \mathrm{d}s \le \left( \int \frac{1}{\zeta^2} \mathrm{d}s \right)^{1/2} \left( \int \kappa^2 \zeta^2 \mathrm{d}s \right)^{1/2},$$

with equality only if

$$\kappa = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\int \int \frac{1}{\zeta^2} \mathrm{d}s}\right) \frac{1}{\zeta^2}.$$





**Lemma 5:** If  $E(\zeta) \leq \pi^2$  for a positive test function  $\zeta$  normalized in  $L^2$ , then

$$\inf_{s} \left( \zeta(\mathbf{s}) \right) > 1 - \frac{\sqrt{E(\zeta)}}{\pi}.$$

Proof of Lemma 5.

$$E(\zeta) > \int_0^1 (\zeta')^2 \, \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^1 (\zeta - \zeta_{\min})'^2 \mathrm{d}s \ge \pi^2 \int_0^1 (\zeta - \zeta_{\min})^2 \mathrm{d}s,$$

# Minimizer therefore exists. Its Euler equation is

 $-\zeta_*'' + M \frac{1}{\zeta_*^3} = C \zeta_*,$ 

M

 $= \frac{4\pi^2 g}{\left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\zeta_*^2} \, ds\right)^2}$ 

Solution of Euler equation of the form:

$${}^{2}_{*} = 1 + \sqrt{1 - M/\lambda_{*}} \cos\left(2\sqrt{\lambda_{*}}(s - s_{0})\right)$$

#### Nonconstant solution of this form excluded because

$$\lambda_* < \pi^2.$$

# Current state of the loop problem

• Benguria-Loss, *Contemp. Math.* 2004. Exhibited a one-parameter continuous family of curves with  $\lambda_1 = 1$  when g = 1. It contains the redoubled interval and the circle.

 B-L also showed that an affirmative answer is equivalent to a standing conjecture about a sharp Lieb-Thirring constant.

## Current state of the loop problem

Burchard-Thomas, J. Geom. Analysis 15
 (2005) 543. The Benguria-Loss curves are local minimizers of λ<sub>1</sub>.

 Linde, Proc. AMS 134 (2006) 3629.
 Conjecture proved under an additional geometric condition. L raised general lower bound to 0.6085.

AIM Workshop, Palo Alto, May, 2006.

# Another loopy equivalence

Another equivalence to a problem connecting geometry and Fourier series in a classical way:

★ Rewrite the energy form in the following way:  $\int_{a}^{2\pi} \left( u^{2\pi} + u^{2} + u^{2} \right) = \int_{a}^{2\pi} \left| d\left( e^{i\theta(s)} u(s) \right) \right|^{2}$ 

$$E(u) := \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left( |u'|^2 + \kappa^2 \, |u|^2 
ight) ds = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| rac{d \left( e^{i heta(s)} u(s) 
ight)}{ds} 
ight|^2 ds$$

**≁**|s

$$E(u) \geq \int_0^{2\pi} u^2$$
 ?

## Another loopy equivalence

Replace s by z = exp(i s) and regard the map

 $z \rightarrow w := u \exp(i \theta)$ 

as a map on C that sends the unit circle to a simple closed curve with winding number one with respect to the origin. Side condition that the mean of w/|w| is 0.

• For such curves, is  $||w'|| \ge ||w||$ ?

# Loop geometry and Fourier series

In the Fourier (= Laurent) representation,

the conjecture is that if the mean of w/|w| is 0, then:

 $w = \sum_{k>-\infty}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ 

$$\sum_k k^2 \left| c_k 
ight|^2 \geq \sum_k |c_k|^2$$

Or, equivalently,

$$\left| c_{0} \right|^{2} \leq \sum_{\left\| k \right\| \geq 2} \left( k^{2} - 1 
ight) \left| c_{k} \right|^{2}$$

# Another isoperimetric theorem for $H(g) = -\frac{d^2}{ds^2} + g\kappa^2$

+ The eigenvalue  $\lambda_2$  of H(-1) is uniquely maximized, and = 0, when the loop is the circle. (Harrell-Loss '98) A nice  $q(\kappa)$  for immersed manifolds is  $g \times$  the square of mean curvature from the Laplace-Beltrami operator on an immersed closed manifold in any D. If g=-1, he second eigenvalue is still maximized by the sphere.

In 2D, conformal mapping allows a proof for -1 ≤ g < 0, even for a certain family of negative-definite quadratic forms q(κ). A nice  $q(\kappa)$  for immersed manifolds is  $g \times$  the square of mean curvature from the Laplace-Beltrami operator on an immersed closed manifold in any D. If g=-1, he second eigenvalue is still maximized by the sphere.

El Soufi has shown the same for -1 < g</li>
 < 0, in dimension > 2 (Indiana UMJ, 2009)
 Dimension 1 for this range of g
 remains open!

THE END